

## REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action dated 13 April 2005. Applicant respectfully requests a one-month extension of time and reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks. Claims 1-9, 13-18, 23-24, 26-27, 29, and 35-36 have been amended. New claims 37-42 have been added. Claims 9, 23, 24, and 29 have been amended only to put each in independent form and not for any reasons related to patentability. The amendments to claims 9, 23, 24, and 29 are not narrowing amendments. Thus, claims 9, 23, 24, and 29 retain their original scope. Accordingly, claims 1-42 remain pending in the application.

### Interview of 9 August 2005

*Interview  
reconsider  
OK*

Applicant's representative interviewed the present case on 9 August 2005. The Examiner agreed to favorably reconsider the rejected claims, provided that the § 112 issues are overcome and the claims are amended to recite a pocket sized to hold aeronautical charts and/or a space for an aircraft pilot knee board. The Examiner also identified two additional prior art references, Shocket (U.S. Patent No. 2,612,199) and Adams (U.S. Patent No. 3,335,375). Therefore, Applicant has submitted a new PTO Form 1449 and requests that the Examiner acknowledge the newly identified additional references.

### In the Drawings

The Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. As discussed in the interview,